

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,225	11/05/2003	Harry H. Lu	RANPP0348USA	7995
7590 07/14/2005			EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
Nineteenth Floo	r			
1621 Euclid Av	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH	44115-2191	·	3721	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
065 4-45 0	10/702,225	LU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gene Kim	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply with	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprincation. If days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>6/15/2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊡ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) dijected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
	ocuments have been received. locuments have been received in App f the priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date promal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 10/702,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Fuss et al as discussed in paragraph 2 of the previous office action. Fuss shows the claimed subject matter. Regarding manipulating formed strips of dunnage, the examiner notes that the claims do not specifically recite that "formed strips of dunnage" are being supplied. In addition, the examiner notes that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. See ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. See in re Young, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4, 8, 9, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuss et al in view of Cruz et al or Wischusen, III as discussed in paragraph 3 of the last office action.

Regarding claim 23, the examiner maintains that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. See ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. See in re Young, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935).

Furthermore, the actual configuration of the tab is nothing more than one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious. See in re Dailey, 149 USPO 47 (CCPA 1976).

Application/Control Number: 10/702,225 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fuss et al as discussed in paragraph 4 of the last office action.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/15/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicants arguments regarding primary reference Fuss et al, claim 1 does not specifically recite that formed strips of dunnage are being manipulated. Furthermore, this limitation is regarding the actual product that is nugatory in apparatus claims as discussed supra. Lastly, the examiner is entitled to interpret the claims in a broad context in light of the specification. Claim 1 sets forth as a "dunnage producing system" which infers that dunnage is being manufactured and is not already formed. The examiner is also interpreting "dunnage" to read on the strips in Fuss et al which are going to be made into dunnage.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUGENE KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jene 2